

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/913,320	10/09/2001	Ernst Freydl	5055	8497	
7:	590 08/08/2003			•	
Shoemaker & Mattare			EXAMINER		
Crystal Plaza Building Suite 1203 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway PO Box 2286		ŕ	MANOHARAN	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
Arlington, VA	22202-0286		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			1764		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/913,320	FREYDL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia Manoharan	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ication. A proper reply to ich places the application	1 IN			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The decay been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortener of the shorte	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, insign and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MF 136(a) and the appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP asion fee a fee under set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I	because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpl	ifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed am	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cor	nsidered but does NOT pl	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL`	Y to issues which were ne	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a) $oxtime$ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and alow or appended.	an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	5 :					
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17-22</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-16,23 and 24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 10. Other: Con't of "Note", supra.

The proposed amdts., would provoke new 112 rejections. For examples Only: Claims 14-20 appear to be at odd with the claim from which they depend directly or indirectly. Claim 13 recites that the "connection means comprising plural channels", whereas, claim 20 recites that the "connection means comprise a sealing plate" which is inconsistent therewith. (A dependent claim incorporates every features of the claim from which it depends and cannot change or orient the limitation(s) already recited in the claim from which it depends). See the different embodiments described at pages 3-5 of the instant specification, embodying different connection means of the claimed invention.